

22. (Amended) A method according to Claim 19, wherein data of the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step comprises a deviation of the original from a predetermined position with respect to a scanning direction of the image represented by the input image data.

23. (Amended) A storage medium, capable of being read by a computer, storing a program to cause an image processing apparatus to execute the steps of:

inputting image data;

determining whether the image data input in said image-data input step represents a specific image having predetermined characteristics;

determining whether to output a signal urging re-input of the image data input in said image-data input step; and

outputting the signal urging re-input of the image data, in accordance with a result of the determination in said re-input determining step.

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments have been made to correct minor informalities and to improve grammar. Applicants

submit that no new matter has been added.

By a separate paper submitted concurrently herewith, Applicants are also requesting approval to amend the drawings to correct informalities.

Favorable consideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,


Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 36,570

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

BLK/fdb

09/769,363
May 2, 2001

APPENDIX

VERSION SHOWING CHANGES MADE TO SPECIFICATION

[0001] The present invention relates to an image processing apparatus suitable for preventing counterfeiting of copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] objects, such as paper money, securities and the like.

[0003] A copier is basically designed to faithfully copy an object mounted on an original-mount, except for a copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] object, such as paper money or the like. Accordingly, if an image which resembles paper money (an image other than paper money which can be legally copied) is input, the copier must recognize that the image is not paper money, and perform a copying operation of the original image. Hence, very precise recognition accuracy is requested for determining whether or not an image to be recognized is an image for which counterfeiting is to be prevented.

[0004] Recently, the quality of an image obtained by a color scanner or a color printer, which is less expensive than a color copier, is greatly improved, and it becomes possible to perform a counterfeiting operation by connecting such an apparatus to a personal computer, i.e., by combining apparatuses other than a color copier. Accordingly, even in an image processing system using an inexpensive color scanner or color printer, countermeasures for preventing

counterfeiting of paper money, securities and the like by mounting an image recognition function or the like have been desired.

[0005] Various methods for determining whether or not an original is a copy-prohibited[ion] object have been proposed. In one method, a color spectrum distribution of image data obtained by scanning an original is compared with data registered in advance in a ROM (read-only memory), based on each pixel value (a set of R, G and B values corresponding to three color signals) in the image data (for example, by obtaining a sum of the absolute values of difference values between respective pixel values and stored data, or calculating a cross-correlation value between distributions). In another method, an evaluation value is calculated by comparing a synthetic image pattern of a part or the entirety of an original with pattern data registered in advance in a ROM or the like.

[0006] Recently, it became [becomes] possible to incorporate information indicating a copy-prohibited[ion] object within an original, serving as a printed matter, using a technique called "digital watermark. That is, when printing an original, serving as a copy-prohibited[ion] object, a printed matter is obtained from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited[ion]-object information generated by embedding second digital information (sub-information) indicating a copy-prohibited[ion] object in image data (electronic image information), serving as original first digital information (main information). This copy-prohibited[ion]-object information (sub-information) can be extracted from the image data including the copy-prohibited[ion]-object

information before printing the original. Furthermore, if consistency between conditions when forming the printed matter from the image data (electronic image information) including the copy-prohibited~~ion~~-object information and conditions in reading and extraction processing is obtained, it is also possible to extract the copy-prohibited~~ion~~-object information from electronic image data obtained by reading the printed matter (original) formed from electronic image information including the copy-prohibited~~ion~~-object information by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like. In consideration of these features, application of the technique called "digital watermark" to prevent~~ion~~ of] illegal copying of an original, serving as a printed matter, has been attracting notice.

[0008] Accordingly, when reading a printed matter (an original), formed from electronic image information having embedded digital-watermark information, by an original-reading device, such as a color scanner or the like, [in what] the direction the original is mounted on the surface of an original-mount greatly influences the difficulty in extraction of digital-watermark information.

[0009] When [realizing processing of] recognizing a copy-prohibited~~ion~~ object by comparing a color spectrum distribution of image data obtained by scanning an original with data registered in advance in a ROM, or by calculating an evaluation value by comparing a synthetic image pattern of a part or the entirety of the original with pattern data registered in advance in a ROM or the

like, if the direction (angle) or the position of the mounted original is not fixed, the total amount of calculation operations for comparison with spectrum data registered in advance or image-pattern data tends to greatly increase in order to deal with various directions and positions.

[0010] In an inexpensive color scanner or color printer, it is desirable to [realize prevention of] prevent counterfeiting of a copy-prohibited[ion] object with a cost lower than in the case of using a relatively expensive color copier. For that purpose, it is preferable to recognize [realize a function of recognizing] a copy-prohibited[ion] object by mainly using software instead of mainly using hardware having a large amount of electronic circuits and the like.

[0011] On the other hand, [if it is intended to determine] when determining a copy-prohibited[ion] image requiring precise recognition accuracy mainly by software, [since] the total amount of calculation operations is large, and the processing time required for the recognition and determination tends to be greatly increased.

[0012] That is, if [it is intended to reduce] the amount of calculation operations for the recognition and determination is reduced, it generally tends to be difficult to determine [realize determination of] a copy-prohibited[ion] image requiring precise recognition accuracy such that, for example, it becomes difficult to detect a copy-prohibited[ion] object, such as paper money or the like, read by intentionally changing reading conditions (such as a mounting angle or a mounting position of an original on a reading surface), or that when an image

resembling paper money (an image other than paper money which is legally allowed to be copied) is input, the image is erroneously recognized as a copy-prohibited[ion] image and normal image output is not performed, thereby impairing the original function of an image processing system.

[0014] It is another object of the present invention to provide an image processing apparatus and a method for controlling the same which allow recognition of whether or not an image is a copy-prohibited[ion] object that is more precise than in the prior art, even in recognition/determination processing having a relatively small amount of calculation operations in a personal computer system using an inexpensive image scanner or color printer.

[0029] FIG. 11 is a flowchart illustrating another operation procedure[s] in the seventh embodiment.

[0031] An image processing apparatus proposed in the following embodiments of the present invention includes, as shown in FIG. 1, an image-data acquisition unit 120 for inputting image data from an image-data source 110, such as a color scanner or the like, a copy-prohibited[ion]-object recognition unit 130 for determining whether or not the image data obtained by the image-data acquisition unit 120 is image data obtained by reading a copy-prohibited[ion] object, a retry determination unit 160 including a difficulty calculation unit 140 for calculating the difficulty in

determining[ation] whether or not the image data represents a copy-prohibited[ion] object, and a difficulty determination unit 150 for determining the difficulty from data obtained from the difficulty calculation unit 140, a retry-information transmission unit 170 for transmitting retry information, and the like. A retry-information reception unit 180, such as a display device or the like, receives the retry information, and displays, for example, a request (or recommendation) to again read an original by a scanner or the like to an operator or the like of an image processing system. A control unit 200 controls the operations of the entire image processing system.

[0032] In the above-described configuration, each functional block operates in the following manner. By the operator operation on an instruction unit (not shown) of the image processing system, image data obtained by performing photoelectric scanning of an original set on the image-data source 110 is input to the copy-prohibited[ion]-object recognition unit 130 and the difficulty calculation unit 140 via the image-data acquisition unit 120. The difficulty calculation unit 140 calculates the difficulty in determining[ation] whether or not the input image data (may also be attribute data relating to the data, or the like) represents a copy-prohibited[ion] object. The calculated data of difficulty is output to the difficulty determination unit 150. The difficulty determination unit 150 determines the difficulty from data obtained from the difficulty calculation unit 140, and outputs the result of the determination to the control unit 200. When the result of the determination indicates that it is difficult to determine whether or not the image data represents a copy-prohibited[ion] object and it is preferable to again read the original by a device for

reading (generating) image data, such as a scanner or the like, the control unit 200 outputs a signal to request (or recommend) to again read the original by the image-data reading (generating) device to the retry-information reception unit 180 via the retry-information transmission unit 170, in order to obtain an input image for which it is easier to determine a copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] object.

[0033] In the following first embodiment of the present invention, [.] a description will be provided of a case in which information indicating a copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] object included within an original is formed according to a technique called "digital watermark." That is, it is assumed that an original, serving as a copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] object, is formed from image data (electronic image information) including copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion]-object information generated by embedding second digital information indicating a copy-prohibited~~ed~~[ion] object in image data (electronic information), serving as first digital information to be formed as a printed matter[, as a printed matter].

[0034] The digital watermark may have any appropriate form, such as an invisible digital watermark in which information is embedded in spatial frequencies of image data, a visible digital watermark in which information is embedded according to an arrangement of yellow (or yellow-type) dots which are difficult to be seen by human eyes, or the like.

[0039] FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating a principal portion in the configuration shown in FIG. 2 as functional modules. In FIG. 3, there are shown a CPU (central

processing unit) 11, a RAM (random access memory) 12, a ROM 13, a display control unit 14, a display 15, an operation input device 16 including a keyboard, a mouse and the like, an input/output control device (hereinafter abbreviated as an I/O 17 for connection to the operation input unit 16, an external storage device 18, such as a hard-disk device or the like, an I/O 19 for connection to the external storage device 18, a bus 20, a color image scanner 21, an I/O 22 for connection to an image input device, such as a color image scanner or the like, through the connection cable 3, and an interface unit 23 operating as an interface with communication means, such as a network or the like.

[0043] In step S30, it is determined whether or not the read image has an angle (at least a predetermined angle θ_{in}) at which detection of a copy-prohibited[ion] image is difficult in recognition/determination processing with a relatively small amount of calculation operations. If the result of the determination in step S30 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S40. If the result of the determination is negative, the process proceeds to step S50.

[0045] In step S60, the presence of characteristics of a copy-prohibited[ion] object in the image data obtained in step S50 is checked. The process then proceeds to step S70.

[0046] In step S70, it is determined whether or not the image is a copy-prohibited[ion] image based on the result of the check in step S60. If the result of

the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90.

[0049] In step S40, the CPU 11 outputs a signal indicating that the mounting angle θ of the original on the reading surface of the scanner is an angle (at least θ_{th}) at which detection of a copy-prohibited[ion] image is difficult, and requesting to again read the original by changing the mounting state, to the display device 15 via the display control unit 14. Upon completion of step S40 [S80], the series of processing is terminated. In accordance with the result of the output, the display device 15 displays the mounting angle of the original in the form of an image.

[0050] In the above-described step S80, the operation that (4) image data is not output at all may, of course, be performed instead of outputting a certain image data file. The operation that (5) a signal indicating a warning that the original is a copy-prohibited[ion] object is output to the display device 15 via the display control unit 14 may, of course, also be performed.

[0051] In the processing of calculating the mounting angle of the original on the original-reading surface performed in step S20, the angle of the original is detected by detecting the positions of edges of the original for each scanning line by detecting the difference between the pixel density value when reading the platen cover of the scanner and the pixel density value when reading the background portion of the original, and detecting the positions of the four corners of the original based on

information relating to changes in the positions of the edges of the original.

[0052] The check of the characteristics of a copy-prohibitedd[ion] object in step S60 is performed by extracting the characteristics from image data obtained by performing photoelectric scanning of the original, serving as a printed matter including information relating to a copy-prohibitedd[ion] object using the above-described digital-watermark technique, by the scanner.

[0053] In step S70, it is determined whether or not information relating to a copy-prohibitedd[ion] object has been extracted in step S60. If the result of the determination in step S70 is negative, the process proceeds to step S90. If the result of the determination in step S70 is affirmative, the process proceeds to step S80.

[0056] Although in the above-described first embodiment, the check of the characteristics of a copy-prohibitedd[ion] object in step S60 is performed by extracting the characteristics from image data obtained by performing photoelectric scanning of the original, serving as a printed matter including copy-prohibitedd[ion]-object information using the digital-watermark technique, any other appropriate approach may also be adopted. The check of the characteristics of a copy-prohibitedd[ion] object in step S60 may be performed by comparing a color-spectrum distribution of image data obtained by scanning the original with data registered in advance in the ROM 13, based on each pixel value (a set of R, G and B values corresponding to three-color signals) in the image data, or comparing the synthetic image pattern of a part or the entirety of the original with pattern data registered in

advance in a ROM or the like. In this case, in step S70, the evaluation value (the sum of the absolute values of difference values, the cross-correlation value between distributions, or the like) is determined based on a predetermined threshold (for example, if the sum of the absolute values of difference values does not exceed the threshold, the image is determined to be a copy-prohibited_[ion] object, and if the sum exceeds the threshold, the image is determined not to be a copy-prohibited_[ion] object, or if the cross-correlation value exceeds the threshold, the image is determined to be a copy-prohibited_[ion] object, and if the cross-correlation value does not exceed the threshold, the image is determined not to be a copy-prohibited_[ion] object).

[0057] In the above-described first and second embodiments, the calculation of the mounting angle θ of the original (calculation of the difficulty in extraction of copy-prohibited_[ion]-object information) is performed in steps S20 and S30, and a determination of whether or not extraction of copy-prohibited_[ion]-object information is difficult (determination of difficulty) is performed from the obtained angle. However, any other appropriate approach may be adopted. The process of obtaining the mounting angle of the original in step S20 may be replaced by processing of obtaining the positions of the four corners of the original in the digital image obtained by reading the original on the original-mount (platen) and calculating the mounting position of the original (the coordinates of the four corners of the original) as shown in step S21 of FIG. 5, and the process of step S30 in FIG. 4 may be replaced by a determination of whether or not the mounting position of the

original coincides with a predetermined standard position as shown in step S31 of FIG. 5. If the result of the determination in step S31 is affirmative, the process eventually proceeds to step S70 assuming that the determination of a copy-prohibited[ion] object is not difficult. If the result of the determination in step S31 is negative, the process proceeds to step S41. The process of outputting a signal requesting to change the mounting angle of the original in step S40 of FIG. 4 is replaced by the process of outputting a signal requesting to change the mounting position of the original as shown in step S41 of FIG. 5. Other portions are entirely the same as in the first or second embodiment.

[0060] Although in the above-described first through third embodiments, the calculation of difficulty in determination whether or not an image is a copy-prohibited[ion] object shown in step S20 or S21 is performed from an image obtained by pre-scanning shown in step S10, the present invention is not limited to such an approach. That is, actual scanning performed in step S50 may be performed in step S10. The image read in this actual scanning may be stored in the RAM 12 or the external storage device 18, and the stored image may be processed at the processing starting from step S60.

[0062] Although in the above-described first through fourth embodiments, the characteristics of a copy-prohibited[ion] object are checked for the image read by the scanner, the present invention is not limited to such an approach.

[0066] Although in the above-described first through fifth embodiments, image data is output to the external storage device 18 (a hard disk or the like within the image processing system) via the I/O 19 as an image data file, whether the image data is output as normal image data or abnormal image data, the present invention is not limited to such an approach.

[0070] In this case, output of image data in step S80 or S90 in the flowchart of FIG. 4 or 5 is realized by output of image data to the color printer 24 connected to the image processing system via the I/O 25, through connection cable 5.

[0072] Although in the above-described first through sixth embodiments, the presence of characteristics indicating whether or not input image data represents a copy-prohibited[ion] object is determined, the present invention is not limited to such an approach.

[0073] That is, The present invention may also be applied to a case in which sub-information relating to image data itself included within the image data is detected, instead of a case of determining whether or not the image data represents a copy-prohibited[ion] object. For example, the present invention may also be applied to a case in which the presence of copyright information (sub-information) is confirmed from a hard-copy image for an image in which copyright information, serving as second digital information (sub-information), is mixed in original image data, serving as first digital information (main information), using the digital-

watermark technique in order to claim the copyright of the user who has formed the image. By calculating the difficulty in extraction of the characteristics of the image as described above, and determining whether or not retry of input of the image is to be urged, based on the result of the calculation, it is, of course, possible to construct an image processing system which can easily extract the characteristics of the image.

[0074] In this case, the copy-prohibited[ion]-object recognition unit 130 shown in FIG. 1 may be replaced by an image-characteristics extraction unit 131 shown in FIG. 9. Since other components shown in FIG. 9 operate in [the] entirely the same manner as in the case of FIG. 1, further detailed description thereof will be omitted. In accordance with this modification, as shown in FIG. 10, the processing in step S60 in FIG. 4 or 5 is replaced by processing of extracting image characteristics (sub-information) in step S61 of FIG. 10, and the processing in step S70 in FIG. 4 or 5 is replaced by determination processing in step S71 of FIG. 10, and the same processing as in FIG. 4 or 5 is performed after the determination in step S71.

VERSION SHOWING AMENDMENTS MADE TO CLAIMS

1. (Amended) An image processing apparatus, comprising:
image-data input means for inputting image data;
specific-image determination means for determining
whether [or not] the image data input [obtained] by said image
data input means represents a specific image having
predetermined characteristics;

re-input determination means for determining whether
to output [or not] a signal urging re-input of the image data
input by [obtained from] said image-data input means [is to be
output]; and

signal output means for outputting the signal urging
re-input of the image data, in accordance with a result of the
determination by said re-input determination means.

2. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to
Claim 1, wherein said specific-image determination means
determines whether [or not] the image data [obtained from said
image-data input means] represents a copy-prohibited [copy-
prohibition] image.

3. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein said re-input determination means determines whether [or not] re-input of the image data is to be urged [,] by determining a difficulty in the determination by said specific-image determination means [whether or not the image data represents the specific image].

4. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 2, wherein said re-input determination means determines whether [or not] re-input of the image data is to be urged by determining a difficulty in the determination of whether [or not] the image data represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image.

5. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein said re-input determination means comprises difficulty calculation means for calculating a difficulty in the determination of whether [or not] the image data represents the specific image, and difficulty determination means for determining whether [or not] the determination by [of] said specific-image determination means is difficult based on the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means.

6. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 2, wherein said re-input determination means comprises difficulty calculation means for calculating a difficulty in the determination of whether [or not] the image data represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image, and difficulty determination means for determining whether [or not] the determination by said specific-image determination means [whether or not the image data represents a copy-prohibition image] is difficult [,] based on the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means.

7. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein said re-input determination means determines whether [or not the] re-input of the image data is to be urged [,] from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data.

8. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 5, wherein said difficulty calculation means calculates the difficulty in the determination of the specific image [,] from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data, and wherein said difficulty determination means determines whether [or not] the

determination by said specific-image determination means is difficult [,] by comparing data of the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means with a predetermined value.

9. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim 6, wherein said difficulty calculation means calculates the difficulty in the determination of a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image [,] from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data, and wherein said difficulty determination means determines whether [or not] the determination of a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image is difficult [,] by comparing data of the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means with a predetermined value.

10. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim [7] 8, wherein data of the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means comprises [from data based on the position of the original in the image represented by the input image data is] an angle of the original with respect to a scanning direction of the image represented by the input image data.

11. (Amended) An image processing apparatus according to Claim [7] 8, wherein data of the difficulty calculated by said difficulty calculation means comprises [from data based on the position of the original in the image represented by the input image data is] a deviation of the original from a predetermined position with respect to a scanning direction of the image represented by the input image data.

12. (Amended) A method for controlling an image processing apparatus, said method comprising the steps of:

inputting image data;

determining whether [or not] the image data input [obtained] in said image-data input step represents a specific image having predetermined characteristics;

determining whether to output [or not] a signal urging re-input of the image data input [obtained] in said image-data input step [is to be output]; and

outputting the signal urging re-input of the image data, in accordance with a result of the determination in said re-input determining [determination] step.

13. (Amended) A method according to Claim 12, wherein in said specific-image determining [determination] step, it is

determined whether [or not] the image data input [obtained] in said image-data input step represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image.

14. (Amended) A method according to Claim 12, wherein in said re-input determining [determination] step, it is determined whether [or not] re-input of the image data is to be urged [,] by determining a difficulty in the determination in said specific-image determining step of whether [or not] the image data represents the specific image.

15. (Amended) A method according to Claim 13, wherein in said re-input determining [determination] step, it is determined whether [or not] re-input of the image data is to be urged by determining a difficulty in the determination in said specific-image determining step of whether or not the image data represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image.

16. (Amended) A method according to Claim 12, wherein said re-input determining [determination] step comprises a difficulty calculation step of calculating a difficulty in the determination in said specific-image determining step, [whether or not the image data represents the specific image,] and a

difficulty determination step of determining whether [or not] the determination in said specific-image determining step [of the specific image] is difficult [,] based on the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step.

17. (Amended) A method according to Claim 13, wherein said re-input determining [determination] step comprises a difficulty calculation step of calculating a difficulty in determining [determination] whether [or not] the image data represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image, and a difficulty determination step of determining whether [or not] the determination whether [or not] the image data represents a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image is difficult, based on the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step [means].

18. (Amended) A method according to Claim 13, wherein in said re-input determining [determination] step, it is determined whether [or not] the re-input is to be urged[,] from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data.

19. (Amended) A method according to Claim 16, wherein in said difficulty calculation step, the difficulty in the determination of the specific image is calculated from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data, and wherein in said difficulty determination step, it is determined whether [or not] the determination of the specific image is difficult [,] by comparing data of the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step with a predetermined value.

20. (Amended) A method according to Claim 17, wherein in said difficulty calculation step, the difficulty in the determination of a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image is calculated from data based on a position of an original in an image represented by the input image data, and wherein in said difficulty determination step, it is determined whether [or not] the determination of a copy-prohibited [copy-prohibition] image is difficult [,] by comparing data of the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step with a predetermined value.

21. (Amended) A method according to Claim 19, [18,] wherein data of the difficulty calculated in said difficulty

calculation step comprises [from data based on the position of the original is] an angle of the original with respect to a scanning direction of the image represented by the input image data.

22. (Amended) A method according to Claim 19, [18,] wherein data of the difficulty calculated in said difficulty calculation step comprises [from data based on the position of the original is] a deviation of the original from a predetermined position with respect to a scanning direction of the image represented by the input image data.

23. (Amended) A storage medium, capable of being read by a computer, storing a program to cause an image processing apparatus to execute the [following steps, said program comprising the] steps of:

inputting image data;

determining whether [or not] the image data input [obtained] in said image-data input step represents [is] a specific image having predetermined characteristics;

determining whether to output [or not] a signal urging re-input of the image data input [obtained] in said image-data input step [is to be output]; and

outputting the signal urging re-input of the image data, in accordance with a result of the determination in said re-input determining [determination] step.

BLK/fdb